

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,638	638 03/01/2002		Anthony C. Bonora	34741-752	3741	
33864	7590	06/16/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP 275 BATTERY STREET				FOX, CH	FOX, CHARLES A	
SUITE 2600		E I	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRAN	CISCO, O	CA 94111-3305		3652		

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/087,638	BONORA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Charles A. Fox	3652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		,					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 April 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
· · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
200 and addition of the action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
,							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:							

A

Application/Control Number: 10/087,638 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,5,6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muka et al. in view of Bonora et al. Muka et al. US 5,613,821 teaches a semiconductor tool interface comprising:

a load port (60);

wherein a container assembly is secured to said load port during loading and unloading of said cassette;

a front member (70) forming a front mounting surface;

a rear member (not numbered) forming a rear mounting surface;

wherein said members form a storage space for a port door (44) when said door is in a lowered position. Muka et al. do not disclose a frame structure for their interface. Bonora et al. US 6,138,721 teaches a frame for a semiconductor toll interface, said frame comprising:

at least two vertical struts:

a top support member secured to the top of each vertical strut;

a lower structure connecting the vertical struts;

wherein said struts are substantially parallel with each other. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device

Application/Control Number: 10/087,638

Art Unit: 3652

taught by Muka et al. with a frame as taught by Bonora et al. in order to provide the

device with structural integrity as well as a means to easily align any objects fastened to

said frame.

Claims 3, 7 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Page 3

Muka et al. and Bonora et al. as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of

Nering. Muka et al. and Bonora et al. teach the limitations of claim 1 and 6 as above,

they do not teach providing the upper and lower members with perforated portions.

Nering US 6,050,891 teaches a unified frame comprising:

upper and lower supports far a frame;

perforations in upper and lower supports. It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the members taught by Muka

et al. and Bonora et al. with perforations as taught by Nering in order to provide an air

curtain for helping prevent contaminants from entering the process chamber that the

interface may be attached to, thereby increasing the yield of usable wafers.

Response to Amendment

The amendments filed on April 4, 2005 have been entered into the record.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims. The closest prior art of Muka et al. does not teach or

suggest the lower part of the device as being a single piece of material that is formed to

fasten to both the front and the rear of the vertical struts.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,638

Art Unit: 3652

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. this Office action. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Charles A. Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-

6923. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00-4:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached at 571-272-6607. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

376

Application/Control Number: 10/087,638

Art Unit: 3652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAF

Page 5